1 Matthew Franklin Jaksa (CA State Bar No. 248072) HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP 2 560 Mission Street, 25th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2994 3 Telephone: (415) 268-2000 4 Facsimile: (415) 268-1999 Email: matt.jaksa@hro.com 5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs. 6 WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC.: 7 VIRGIN RECORDS AMERICA, INC.: BMG MUSIC: MAVERICK RECORDINGS COMPANY: INTERSCOPE RECORDS: SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT: and ARISTA 10 RECORDS LLC 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 13 WARNER BROS, RECORDS INC., a Delaware CASE NO. C 07-03094 JCS corporation; VIRGIN RECORDS AMERICA. 14 INC., a California corporation; BMG MUSIC, a Honorable Joseph C. Spero 15 New York general partnership; MAVERICK STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CASE RECORDINGS COMPANY, a California joint 16 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND venture; INTERSCOPE RECORDS, a California EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO general partnership: SONY BMG MUSIC 17 ANSWER PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware general AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 18 partnership; and ARISTA RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. 19 Plaintiffs. 20 v, 21 22 YOO-MIN LEE, Defendant. 23 24 25 26 27 28

Stipulation to Continue CMC and Extend Defendant's Time to Answer and [Proposed] Order Case No. C 07-03094 JCS

Plaintiffs Warner Bros. Records, Inc., et al. and Defendant Yoo-Min Lee (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their attorneys, stipulate to extend Defendant's time in which to answer the First Amended Complaint to March 12, 2008. The Parties further stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, to a continuance of the initial case management conference from March 21, 2008 at 1:30 p.m. to April 11, 2008, at 1:30 p.m. In support of their request, the Parties represent as follows:

- I. Plaintiffs filed their initial complaint for copyright infringement in this matter against a John Doe defendant on June 13, 2007. On that date, Plaintiffs also filed their Ex Parte Application for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery seeking leave of the Court to serve a Rule 45 subpocna on a third-party Internet Service Provider ("ISP"). The Court entered an Order for Leave to take Immediate Discovery on June 26, 2007, and Plaintiffs served a subpoena on the ISP. The ISP provided Defendant's name in response to the subpoena.
- 2. Thereafter, the Parties engaged in periodic correspondence and discussions aimed at resolving this case without further litigation. These discussions continued through February 7, 2008. However, the Parties were unable to resolve the dispute. During that time, while the case was still in the Doe stage, Plaintiffs requested two previous continuances of the case management conference, which the Court granted by its Orders of September 12, 2007 and December 7, 2007.
- 3. On February 11, 2008, Plaintiffs filed the First Amended Complaint ("FAC") naming Ms. Lee individually as the defendant, and Summons was issued. Defendant was served with the Summons and FAC on February 14.
- 4, Defendant intends to file and serve an answer to the FAC, but desires additional time in which to do so. Accordingly, the Parties have agreed to extend the deadline for Defendant to answer the FAC to March 12, 2008. However, under the current case management schedule, the Parties would be required to file a Joint Case Management Statement by March 14, just two days after the Parties' agreed-upon deadline for Defendant to answer the FAC.

25

26

1	5. Accordingly, the Parties have reached the following stipulation: (1) The parties agree
2	that the deadline for Defendant to answer the FAC be extended to March 12, 2008; (2) The parties
3	further agree that the initial case management conference be continued from March 21, 2008, at 1:30
4	p.m. to April 11, 2008, at 1:30 p.m., with the Joint Case Management Statement to be filed by April
5	4, 2008.
6 7	Dated: March 5, 2008 HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP
8	By:
9	MATTHEW FRANKLIN JAKSA
10	Attorney for Plaintiffs
11	
12	5
13	Dated: March 5, 2008 GERALD SINGLETON, APC
14	- Candida
15	By: (())()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()()
16	Attorney for Defendants
17	
18	
19	[PROPOSED] ORDER
20	Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.
21	
22	
23	Dated: By:
24	Honorable Joseph C. Spero United States Magistrate Judge
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2
	Stipulation to Continue CMC and Extend Defendant's Time to Answer and [Proposed] Order Case No. C 07-03094 JCS
	Case No. C 07-05094 JCS 62741 v1